403: Algorithms and Data Structures

Heapsort and Priority Queues

Fall 2016

UAlbany

Computer Science

Some slides borrowed from **David Luebke**

Context

- We defined heaps
 - "almost" complete binary trees
 - $-A[Parent(i)] \ge A[i]$ for all nodes i > 1
- Heap operations: Heapify()
 - Fix a single violation of the heap property
 - "Float" values down the tree
 - O(logn), where n is the heap size
 - What is the base of the log?

3

10

9

16

14

8

2

Heap Operations: BuildHeap()

- Input: Array A[1...n]
- Required: Convert A into a heap
- <u>Idea:</u> build a heap in a bottom-up manner by running **Heapify()** on successive subarrays

Heap Operations: BuildHeap()

- Fact: for array of length n, all elements in range A[[n/2] + 1 .. n] are leaves (Why?)
 Left([n/2] + 1) = 2* ([n/2] + 1) > n
- Another fact: Leaves are (trivially) heaps
- Walk backwards through the array from [n/2] to 1, calling Heapify() on each node.
 - Order of processing guarantees that the children of node *i* are heaps when *i* is processed
 - Why is this important?

BuildHeap()

```
// given an unsorted array A, make A a heap
BuildHeap(A)
{
    heap_size(A) = length(A);
    for (i = [length[A]/2] downto 1)
        Heapify(A, i);
```

}

BuildHeap() Example

Work through example
 A = {4, 1, 3, 2, 16, 9, 10, 14, 8, 7}



Crude Analysis of BuildHeap()

- Each call to Heapify() takes O(lg n) time
- There are O(n) such calls (specifically, [n/2])
- Thus the running time is O(n lg n)

 Is this a correct asymptotic upper bound?
 Is this an asymptotically tight bound?
- A tighter bound is O(n)
 - How can this be? Is there a flaw in the above reasoning?

Analyzing BuildHeap(): Tight

- To Heapify() a subtree takes O(h) time where h is the height of the subtree
 - $-h = O(\lg m), m = \#$ nodes in subtree
 - Intuition: The height of most subtrees is small , i.e.
 O(log n) is too "generous"
- Fact 1: an *n*-element heap has at most [*n*/2^{*h*+1}] nodes of height *h*
 - Proof?
- Using Fact 1 we can show that **BuildHeap()** takes O(n) time
 - Proof?

Heapsort

- Given **BuildHeap()**, an in-place sorting algorithm is easily constructed:
 - Maximum element is at A[1]
 - Discard by swapping with element at A[n]
 - Decrement heap_size[A]
 - A[n] now contains correct value
 - Restore heap property at A[1] by calling
 Heapify()
 - Repeat, always swapping A[1] for A[heap_size(A)]



Heapsort

```
Heapsort(A)
{
  BuildHeap(A);
  for (i = length(A) downto 2)
   {
     Swap(A[1], A[i]);
     heap size(A) -= 1;
     Heapify(A, 1);
```

}

Analyzing Heapsort

- The call to **BuildHeap()** takes O(n) time
- Each of the n 1 calls to Heapify() takes
 O(lg n) time
- Thus the total time taken by **HeapSort()** = $O(n) + (n - 1) O(\lg n)$
 - $= O(n) + O(n \lg n)$
 - = O(*n* lg *n*)

Priority Queues

- Heapsort is a nice algorithm, but in practice Quicksort (coming up) usually wins
- But the heap data structure is incredibly useful for implementing *priority queues*
 - A data structure for maintaining a set S of elements, each with an associated value or key
 - Supports the operations Insert(), Maximum(), and ExtractMax()

- What might a priority queue be useful for?

Assassin's prioritized TODO manager

Priority Queue Operations

- Insert(S, x) inserts the element x into set S
- Maximum(S) returns the element of S with the maximum key
- ExtractMax(S) removes and returns the element of S with the maximum key
- How could we implement these operations using a heap?

Priority Queue Operations

• Insert(S, x)

- Increment heap size and add x at the end
- move the new element "upwards" (reverse-heapify)
- O(log n)

Maximum(S)

- return S[1]
- Time complexity?
- ExtractMax(S) removes and returns the element of S with the maximum key
 - save S[1], place S[heap_size(S)] in S[1]; Heapify(S,1)
 - Time?

Heap vs All (for Priority queues)

Data Structure	Pre- processing	Insert	Max	Extract Max
Linked List	O(n)	O(1)	O(n)	O(n)
Sorted Array	O(n logn)	O(n) (shifting)	O(1)	O(1)
Неар	O(n)	O(log n)	O(1)	O(log n)

Announcements

- Read through Chapter 6

 Next class: Build heap, Heap Sort, Priority Queues
- HW2 due next Wednesday