







Summary

Introduction

- **Dynamic networks** represent interactions over time
- Interactions are often aggregated into temporal snapshots for downstream tasks (community detection, visualizations, outliers, ML on graphs)
- Typical approach: fixed time window
- Data-agnostic
- Does not reflect communities
- How to create snapshots that agree with natural communities in the network? **Key ideas behind CADENCE**
- Model interactions as a high-res tensor
- Simultaneously **detect communities** and their regimes of stable activity
- Define **snapshots** based on those regimes



CADENCE: Community-aware Detection Of Dynamic Network States

Maxwell McNeil^{*†} Carolina Mattsson[†] Frank W. Takes[†] Petko Bogdanov^{*} * Department of Computer Science, University at Albany—SUNY † Department of Computer Science (LIACS), Leiden Universiteit

What makes a good snapshot in dynamic network data? Follow the activity of communities Regular aggregation don't reflect communities! 12pm 2pm 4pm Work Friends <u>reflects</u> communities! Community aware aggregation









 $\arg\min_{U,V,A} \|X - [[U,V,HA]]\|_{F}^{2} \text{ s.t. } U,V > 0, \ \theta \ge \|A\|_{0}, \ H_{A_{i}\neq0}^{T}H_{A_{i}\neq0} = I$ Alternating least squares CPD for U, V

How can we solve for A?

- *H* is overcomplete dictionary
- Thus, to solve for *A*
- 1. Solve for unconstrained *W*
- 2. Orthogonal matching pursuit inspired algorithm to solve W = HAs.t. $\theta \ge \|A\|_0$, $H_{A_i \ne 0}^T H_{A_i \ne 0} = I$

Temporal snapshots via mega matrix \underline{H} & encoding \underline{A}



Change point detection





Experimental results

GNN Node classification 0.6 -×-Reg - Cons -AGT 0.5 CADENCE AC 0.4 0.3 0.6 0.4 0.3 Test %